

MAIL STOP AF RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 **EXPEDITED PROCEDURE EXAMINING GROUP 1600**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

E. Gunther

Attorney Docket No.: GUNE117293

Application No.: 09/935,557

Group Art Unit: 1631

Filed:

August 22, 2001

Examiner: A.H. Marschel

Title:

METHODS FOR SCREENING AND IDENTIFYING PHARMACEUTICAL

AGENTS

SUMMARY OF EXAMINER INTERVIEW ON MAY 23, 2005

Seattle, Washington 98101

May 27, 2005

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

Applicant and applicant's attorney thank the Examiner for the courtesy of granting a telephone interview on May 23, 2005, and for his helpful comments and suggestions during the interview. The participants in the telephone interview were Examiner Ardin H. Marschel, the applicant and inventor Erik Gunther, and applicant's attorney Karen Blöchlinger. Erik Gunther provided a document of "Talking Points" for discussion purposes. The following is a summary of the interview.

The claim limitation "analyte of previously uncharacterized specific pharmacological activity" in independent Claims 1 and 32 was discussed in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,368,794 (Daniel et al.). Specifically, applicant and applicant's attorney clarified that, as described in the specification, this claim limitation encompasses both analytes that had never been characterized and analytes that had never been characterized with respect to the specific pharmacological activity relevant to the expression profiles of the first and second biological samples. Applicant and applicant's attorney further indicated that the specification excludes the screening of established drug candidates in order to more fully characterize the utility for which they have

> LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS **** 1420 Fifth Avenue **Suite 2800** Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100